Preface and Acknowledgements

Property theory, for the most part, uses for its examples physical objects. Land, hunting on land, fisheries and the turfs which servants have cut are amongst the favourites. Intellectual property law deals with abstract objects. Abstract objects are not like physical objects. They do not, for instance, have obvious boundaries. For this reason at least intellectual property rights are worth a separate philosophical investigation.

This book develops one line of investigation using the tools of analytical philosophy. It is interdisciplinary minded in its approach. It draws on history, economics and sociology in the arguments it develops. For the purpose of illustration and example it uses the intellectual property law of Australia and England and, to a lesser extent, US intellectual property law. It is not and could not hope to be a survey of intellectual property law in these jurisdictions. Many excellent legal texts would have to be combined to do that job. The book should be of interest to intellectual property teachers, social and political theorists with an interest in property and intellectual property lawyers. The arguments are presented in a way which makes them accessible to the non-specialist.
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There are some people who deserve a special mention for the help they have given me. Dennis Pearce has been a valuable discussant of the principles of intellectual property law. Philip Pettit has encouraged the pursuit of the project and provided suggestions. Don Lamberton has contributed enormously to my understanding of the literature in the economics of information. With John Braithwaite I am conducting a study of, amongst other things, the internationalisation of intellectual property standards in the global economy. It is a project funded by the US National Science Foundation, the American Bar Foundation and the Australian Research Council. The philosophical direction of this book has been significantly shaped by work that Braithwaite and I have carried out in our study of international business regulation and the many discussions I have had with him concerning our findings. There can be few better exponents of the inductive style of theory building than John Braithwaite. From him I have learnt much. I owe him a great debt. I owe a similar debt to Tom Campbell. His interests in applied legal philosophy have helped to encourage mine. In many different ways he has helped to bring this project to fruition. I was fortunate enough to spend 1994 as a Research Fellow at the Research School of Social Sciences, The Australian National University. There can be few better interdisciplinary research environments than the Research School. I take this opportunity to thank Geoffrey Brennan, Director of the School, Paul Finn, Head of the Law Program (as he then was) at the School and the scholars I came into contact with while I was there. I also take this opportunity to thank Julie Ayling, my wife. She has been patient. In the role of the intelligent lay reader she has helped to add clarity to the present work. Nick Seddon did not want to be thanked, for reasons too long to go into. But he performed a great service. He read all the draft chapters of the book and suggested many valuable changes. I do not thank him but merely acknowledge his contribution. I claim paternity of all remaining errors.
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