

# List of tables

Table 1.1. Currently known Lapita sites (293): Location, locale type, extent, content, ceramic series, age and references.	9
Table 3.1. Details of XUs, Moiapu 3. Bold indicates dominant SU.	65
Table 3.2. Radiocarbon determinations, Moiapu 3.	68
Table 3.3. General list of excavated materials by XU, Moiapu 3.	69
Table 3.4. Stone artefact raw materials, Moiapu 3.	70
Table 3.5. Proportions of fracture types on chert artefacts, Moiapu 3.	71
Table 3.6. Vertical distribution (by weight) of the four major groups of vertebrates represented in the excavated remains, Moiapu 3.	73
Table 3.7. Vertical distribution of the six families of bony fishes identified in the excavated remains, Moiapu 3.	74
Table 3.8. Vertical distribution of the various terrestrial mammals identified in the excavated remains, Moiapu 3.	74
Table 4.1. Total numbers (MNI) of molluscan shells recovered from analysed test pits and their respective layers at Kamgot.	97
Table 6.1. Catalogue of Arop/Long Island surface collections compiled by Mennis, 2014.	120
Table 6.2. Mineral inclusions identified by SEM in three Arop/Long Island rim sherds.	123
Table 6.3. Chemical concentrations of obsidian (PPM) data measured by pXRF, showing values for four Arop/Long Island obsidian artefacts.	124
Table 8.1. Ceramic distribution by Unit, Spit and Stratum for excavated units at Kavewa.	160
Table 8.2. Non-ceramic artefact distribution by Unit, Spit and Stratum for excavated units at Kavewa.	162
Table 8.3. NISP faunal counts by Unit, Spit and Stratum for excavated units at Kavewa.	163
Table 9.1. $\Delta R$ offsets for localities in the Bismarck and Solomon seas, Papua New Guinea, based on Petchey and Ulm (2012: Figure 1, 55) and references as cited.	173
Table 9.2. Radiocarbon dates run prior to 2015 for the Makekur (FOH) site. Arawe Islands, Papua New Guinea.	177
Table 9.3. New AMS dates on terrestrial plant materials for Makekur (FOH), calibrated with OxCal 4.2.4 using the Intcal13 curve (Bronk Ramsey 2009; Reimer et al. 2013).	178
Table 9.4. Culled list of terrestrial plant dates for Lapita pottery sites in the Bismarck Archipelago, Papua New Guinea, calibrated with OxCal 4.2.4 using the Intcal13 curve (Bronk Ramsey 2009; Reimer et al. 2013).	181
Table 9.5. Culled list of marine shell dates for Lapita pottery sites in the Bismarck Archipelago, Papua New Guinea, calibrated with OxCal 4.2.4 using the Marine13 curve and assuming 100 per cent marine contribution of radiocarbon (Bronk Ramsey 2009; Reimer et al. 2013).	185

Table 9.6. Pooled means of dates for five Bismarck Archipelago Lapita sites calibrated with OxCal 4.2.4 using the IntCal13 curve (Bronk Ramsey 2009; Reimer et al. 2013).	186
Table 9.7. Date ranges for first settlement of island groups in Remote Oceania.	187
Table 9A.1. Mussau Islands, New Ireland.	196
Table 9A.2. Emirau Island, New Ireland.	197
Table 9A.3. Anir Islands, New Ireland.	197
Table 9A.4. Duke of York Islands, New Britain.	198
Table 9A.5. Watom Island, New Britain.	198
Table 9A.6. Garua Island, New Britain.	198
Table 9A.7. Willaumez Peninsula, New Britain.	198
Table 9A.8. Arawe Islands New Britain.	198
Table 9A.9. Measured $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values and adjusted dates.	199
Table 9A.10. Mussau Islands, New Ireland: Calibrated with $\Delta\text{R}=-293\pm 92$ (Petchey and Ulm 2012: Figure 1).	199
Table 9A.11. Anir Islands, New Ireland: Calibrated with the local $\Delta\text{R}=-69\pm 51$ years (Summerhayes 2007:154, note iii).	201
Table 9A.12. Duke of York Islands, New Britain: Calibrated with the local $\Delta\text{R}=43\pm 68$ years (Petchey et al. 2004).	201
Table 9A.13. Watom Island, New Britain.	201
Table 9A.14. Boduna Island, New Britain: Calibrated using $\Delta\text{R}=45\pm 19$ years for Kimbe Bay (Petchey and Ulm 2012: Figure 1).	201
Table 9A.15. Kove Islands, New Britain: Calibrated using Region 5 $\Delta\text{R}=40\pm 19$ years (Petchey and Ulm 2012: Figure 1).	201
Table 9A.16. Tuam Island, Siassi Islands: Calibrated using Region 2 $\Delta\text{R}=273\pm 216$ years (Petchey and Ulm 2012: Figure 1).	202
Table 9A.17. Arawe Islands, New Britain: Calibrated using $\Delta\text{R}=273\pm 216$ years for Region 2 (Petchey and Ulm 2012: Figure 1).	202
Table 9A.18. Kandrian, New Britain: As there is no calculated $\Delta\text{R}$ value relevant to the Kandrian region, all samples are rejected.	202
Table 10.1. New $^{14}\text{C}$ dates from site WKO013A issued after the 2015 CRM excavations.	211
Table 11.1. Radiocarbon dates from Lapita layers on Uripiv and Vao Islands.	230
Table 14.1. Attributes used to analyse Lapita ceramic design.	278
Table 14.2. Extension and variation on Lapita design elements outlined in Chiu and Sand (2005). These are used to construct structural rules as outlined in Sharp (1988).	281
Table 14.3. Sample size used for the Eastern Lapita Province for analysis with the Leica and LEXT microscopes.	284
Table 14.4. $p$ values derived from bivariate linear fit regression between dentate density, sherd width and length with Leica and LEXT attributes for the Eastern, Southern and Western Lapita sample groups.	287

Table 14.5. $p$ values derived from comparisons of means between the Eastern, Southern and Western Lapita sample groups using ANCOVA.	288
Table 14.6. $p$ values derived from comparisons of means between the Eastern, Southern and Western Lapita sample groups using ANCOVA.	288
Table 14.7. $p$ values derived from Fischer's Exact test to determine the significance of association between attributes and ceramic sherds from the Eastern, Southern and Western Lapita sample groups.	289
Table 14.8. $p$ values derived from bivariate linear fit regression between dentate density, sherd length, sherd width and the number of elements-motifs-processes per sherd for the six Lapita samples.	289
Table 14A.1. Post-hoc comparisons of density attribute distribution between Lapita samples from the Eastern, Western and Southern Provinces.	297
Table 14A.2. Post-hoc comparisons of attribute distribution between Lapita samples in the Eastern, Western and Southern Provinces.	297
Table 14A.3. Post-hoc comparisons of attribute distribution between Lapita samples from the Eastern, Western and Southern Provinces.	298
Table 14A.4. Post-hoc comparisons of categorical attributes between Lapita samples from the Eastern, Western and Southern Provinces.	300
Table 14A.5. Post-hoc comparisons resulting from individual Fischer's Exact tests between sample groups from the Eastern, Western and Southern Lapita Provinces.	301
Table 14A.6. Frequency counts and percentages for element type in each sample group.	302
Table 14A.7. Frequency counts and percentages for motif type in each sample group.	303
Table 14A.8. Frequency counts and percentages for process type in each sample group.	305
Table 15.1. Fifty Lapita pottery assemblages included in this study, and references recorded in the LPOD.	310
Table 15.2. Summary of motifs present in each region.	311
Table 15.3. Number of motifs being shared <i>continuously</i> from west to east.	312
Table 15.4. Distribution of the face motif theme.	318
Table 15.5. Distribution of the house motif theme.	319
Table 15.6. Distribution of the joined triangles motif theme.	320
Table 15.7. Distribution of the tongue motif theme.	321
Table 15.8. Distribution of the zigzag motif theme.	322
Table 15.9. Distribution of the mushroom and bone motif theme.	323
Table 15.10. Distribution of the undulated motif theme.	324
Table 15.11. Distribution of the curvature motif theme.	325
Table 15.12. Distribution of the labyrinth motif theme.	326
Table 15.13. Distribution of the spearhead motif theme.	328
Table 16.1. Presence/absence of Corpora A and B in the Lapita sites studied.	341

Table 17.1. List of isotopes targeted during the LA-ICP-MS analysis with the 18 elements involved in the PCA highlighted.	357
Table 17.2. Set of elements significantly loading on each principal component extracted.	357
Table 17.3. Coefficients of variation (mean-standard deviation) obtained from the various ceramic collections.	359
Table 17.4. Data set generated from the analysis.	364
Table 18.1. Faunal MNI counts from Early Lapita, Kamgot.	382
Table 18.2. Faunal NISP (number of identified specimens) counts from Early Lapita, Kamgot.	383
Table 18.3. Percentage of identified fish families, Early Lapita, Kamgot (NISP).	385
Table 18.4. Marine and supra-littoral fringe species identified within the Kamgot shell assemblage (minimum number totals [MNI]).	387
Table 18.5. Species-niche groupings used in the calculations of niche importance.	391
Table 18.6. Plant macrofossils recorded in Layers 2–3 at Kamgot test pit 2C.	396
Table 19.1. List and distribution of wild edible food species in Vanuatu (PVNH specimens).	408
Table 20.1. Rank and number of fish skeletal elements from Uripiv (2002–2009) excavations (n=11 758).	422
Table 20.2. NISP of identified taxa from Uripiv 2001–2005, 2009.	423
Table 20.3. NISP of fish remains in each Layer from TP 1 to TP 15 (2001–2005).	424
Table 20.4. NISP of fish remains from Areas A to E on Uripiv Island.	424
Table 20.5. Relationship between major fish diet and capturing methods based on ethnographic records.	425
Table 20.6. List of the excavated fishhooks from Uripiv and Vao Islands.	427
Table 20.7. NISP and ratio of each fish family from three sites (Uripiv, Mangaasi, Ponamla) in Vanuatu.	430
Table 20.8. Excavated trolling hooks/lures from Western and Eastern Lapita sites.	431
Table 21.1. List of archaeological sites under review by country, island, age, ceramic period, site type, island size, distance to nearest island, area excavated, number of extinct taxa, number of extinct bird bones, total non-fish fauna (NISP), and recovery methods used. Sites must have radiocarbon ages that overlap with Lapita settlement and/or presence of Lapita ceramics, and must have extinct or extirpated avifauna remains.	443
Table 21.2. Taxonomic list of extinct/extirpated taxa by family, species, common name, archaeological distribution, period, habitat and flight.	446
Table 21.3. Taxonomic list of extant taxa by family, species, common name, archaeological distribution, period of disappearance, habitat, flight capability and residential status.	452

This text is taken from *Debating Lapita: Distribution, Chronology, Society and Subsistence*,  
edited by Stuart Bedford and Matthew Spriggs, published 2019 by ANU Press,  
The Australian National University, Canberra, Australia.