

List of figures

Figure 2.1. The Australian policy cycle	40
Figure 3.1. Typology of theories investigated	55
Figure 3.2. The Australian policy cycle	57
Figure 3.3. Moore's strategic triangle	61
Figure 5.1. Sources of academic outputs (%)	115
Figure 5.2. Useful academic disciplines in daily work (%)	116
Figure 5.3. Enabling factors (%)	117
Figure 5.4. Constraining factors for using academic arguments (%)	118
Figure 5.5. 'At what stage in the policy process should academics get involved?' (%)	121
Figure 5.6. Perceptions on the most important informers of policy expertise (%)	121
Figure 5.7. 'What prevents you from using academic outputs?' (%)	122
Figure 8.1. Step 1: The two things public servants do	191
Figure 8.2. Step 2: Add 'decide'	192
Figure 8.3. Step 3: Complete the basic problem-solving model.	194
Figure 8.4. Refining the cycle	195
Figure 8.5. Australian policy cycle	196
Figure 8.6. 'Complexifying' the model	197
Figure 10.1. Foucault's <i>dispositif</i>	227
Figure 10.2. Jäger's <i>dispositif</i>	228

Figure 10.3. Foucault's concern (from Deleuze 1992)	229
Figure 10.4. The Policy Enterprise Model.	235
Figure 10.5. The anatomy of the paradigm.	237
Figure 11.1. The four questions	246
Figure 11.2. Moore's strategic triangle	250
Figure 11.3. What is the problem?	253
Figure 11.4. Visualisation of balance sheet data and social investment.	255
Figure 12.1. APSC model of capability.	264

This text is taken from *Learning Policy, Doing Policy: Interactions Between Public Policy Theory, Practice and Teaching*, edited by Trish Mercer, Russell Ayres, Brian Head and John Wanna, published 2021 by ANU Press, The Australian National University, Canberra, Australia.