

# List of figures

- Figure 1.1 The large geomorphic features of the Murray–Darling Basin
- Figure 1.2 Annual rainfall anomaly for the Murray–Darling Basin, 1899–2010
- Figure 1.3 Cross-section and oblique views, respectively, of ecological function and the floodplain hydrology of river red-gum forests
- Figure 1.4 The three major climatic regimes of the Murray–Darling Basin
- Figure 1.5 Average hydrological fluxes across the Murray–Darling Basin, 1981–2006
- Figure 1.6 Murray River inflow, 1891–2008
- Figure 1.7 Predicted impacts of future climate on surface-water availability in the river systems of the Murray–Darling Basin
- Figure 1.8 Run-off projections for 2030, 2050 and 2070 relative to 1990 for the entire Murray–Darling Basin, the northern Basin and the southern Basin under high and medium global-warming scenarios
- Figure 1.9 Farmers’ terms of trade, 1950–85 ratio of prices received to prices paid
- Figure 1.10 The annual rainfall anomaly for the Murray–Darling Basin, 1891–2010, and the storage capacity and diversions in the Basin, 1920–94
- Figure 2.1 The Murray–Darling Basin showing the location of designated Ramsar wetlands
- Figure 2.2 Psyche Bend Lagoon on the floodplain of the River Murray near Mildura, Victoria, showing the impact of reduced environmental flows that have led to salinisation, acidification and the death of wetland vegetation
- Figure 2.3 The links between biodiversity conservation, ecosystem services and human wellbeing
- Figure 4.1 Annual mean temperature anomaly for the Murray–Darling Basin, 1910–2010, with 15-year running average (black line)
- Figure 4.2 Annual rainfall spatial trend for the Murray–Darling Basin, 1970–2010
- Figure 4.3 Record of annual inflows to the MDB 1892–2008
- Figure 4.4 Wet area evapotranspiration (mm) in the MDB, 2030 and 2100
- Figure 13.1 The Water Act 2007 and the oversight of some basin plans- why not the entire country?

- Figure 14.1 Relative level of water use in 18 regions and the entire Murray–Darling Basin
- Figure 14.2 Effects of development on seasonal flows in the Goulburn–Broken and the Murrumbidgee rivers
- Figure 14.3 Flows at the River Murray mouth (GL/yr)
- Figure 14.4 ABARE–BRS modelling of effects of reduced watercourse extractions in the Murray–Darling Basin
- Figure 14.5 Marginal benefits equals marginal costs
- Figure 16.1 Two-stage approach in the ABARE–BRS study
- Figure 16.2 Catchment management authority areas
- Figure 16.3 CSIRO Sustainable Yield regions
- Figure 16.4 Basin Plan regions
- Figure 16.5 Regions in the ABARE–BRS Water Trade Model
- Figure 16.6 Barwon–Darling region in the ABARE–BRS Water Trade Model
- Figure 16.7 Barwon–Darling region in the proposed Basin Plan
- Figure 17.1 Plans of where to invest after selling water, 2008–09
- Figure 18.1 Seasonal price premiums in the Goulburn trading region, 1999–2009
- Figure 22.1 Location of the Peake, Roby and Sherlock Prescribed Wells Areas
- Figure 22.2 Examples of RCLs based on groundwater levels and confined aquifer pressure as percentage of aquifer thickness
- Figure 22.3 A flow of tasks that represents the technical process coupled with stakeholder engagement
- Figure 22.4 Modelled groundwater levels for the Northern Adelaide Plains (green line) compared with the agreed RCL (purple line)
- Figure 24.1 Water extraction versus environmental outcomes
- Figure 24.2 Where is the correlation?
- Figure 24.3 Ecosystem health
- Figure 25.1 Murray–Darling Basin Guide proposals for whole-of-basin adjustments
- Figure 25.2 A model of the ‘performance space’ in which the Murray–Darling Basin Plan has to be enacted depicted as a transect through one State (Victoria) and one catchment management authority (Goulburn–Broken Catchment Management Authority)
- Figure 26.1 Understanding when to involve stakeholders at different levels of engagement