

# List of figures

Figure 1.1: Locations in Papua New Guinea where Glenn Summerhayes has undertaken archaeological fieldwork.	2
Figure 1.2: Glenn in the Arawe Islands.	3
Figure 1.3: Glenn sieving at the Boduna Island Lapita site, West New Britain, 1989.	4
Figure 1.4: Glenn recording rock art on Feni Island, Bismarck Archipelago, 1998.	5
Figure 1.5: Glenn with the research team on Feni Island, 1998.	5
Figure 1.6: Glenn with the late Herman Mandui (white shirt) and local collaborators in the Ivane Valley, 2008.	7
Figure 1.7: Professor Glenn Summerhayes receiving the Order of Logohu, 2014.	8
Figure 1.8: Glenn wearing his trusty Swazi top, safety jandals and a woven beanie gifted to him by the Simbai community. Simbai, 2016.	8
Figure 1.9: Glenn in his element, discussing archaeology with Kenneth Miamba (centre) and an interested public on Karkar Island, 2018.	9
Figure 2.1: Glenn Summerhayes teaching Loretta Hasu field notebook recording techniques on Koil Island.	26
Figure 3.1: Nidatha and Jason excavating at the Kosipe Mission Station, 2008.	31
Figure 3.2: Some of the team excavating a site at the Kosipe Mission Station, 2008.	31
Figure 4.1: (Left) Glenn talking to schoolchildren at Gomogom Cave. (Right) Glenn, Geoff and Lisa on day of departure from Koil.	36
Figure 4.2: (Left) Jimmy Peter from Lihir Gold and the team. (Right) Glenn with Edward Salle (centre), Tatau, Tabar.	37
Figure 4.3: (Left) Herman, Minol and Glenn on Manus. (Right) Glenn, Herman and Lisa at Lepong.	39
Figure 4.4: (Left) Our arrival at Emirau in 2007. (Right) Glenn undertaking community consultation.	39
Figure 4.5: (Left) Glenn arriving at Tamuarawai with Kelly and Lyn Amanga. (Right) School visit to the site.	41
Figure 4.6: (Left) Glenn admiring a Lapita pot fragment. (Right) Fishhook recovered from Tamuarawai (2008).	42
Figure 4.7: (Left) Dissecting rats 2007. (Right) Collecting genealogy and DNA in 2009, Emirau.	43
Figure 4.8: (Left) 2010 Lunch on Emirau. (Right) Return of results, Emirau.	44
Figure 5.1: The Bismarck Archipelago with locations discussed in text circled.	53
Figure 5.2: (Top) Map of Emirau Island. (Bottom) Map of Tamuarawai (EQS) showing the site boundaries (outlined in grey) and the test pits (TP) and shovel pits (SP) excavated at the site.	54
Figure 5.3: Vessel forms.	57
Figure 5.4: Pottery from the site of Tamuarawai (EQS).	58
Figure 5.5: Additional pottery from the site of Tamuarawai (EQS).	58
Figure 6.1: Map of the Mussau Islands and nearby isles with locations of Lapita sites discussed in this paper.	76
Figure 6.2: Map showing the simplified geological zones within the Bismarck Archipelago region.	78

Figure 6.3: Jaccard similarity measures of shared motifs between ECA, ECB and EHB and other Bismarck Archipelago Lapita sites that have more than 20 different motifs recorded in the LPOD.	86
Figure 6.4: Distribution of undulated (a) and zigzag (b) motif themes among Bismarck Lapita sites.	88
Figure 7.1: Makers' marks identified by Donovan (1973:76) from sites RF-2 (here RL2) and SZ-8 in the Reefs–Santa Cruz Islands of the Southeast Solomons.	111
Figure 7.2: (A) RL2-265-85, an additional makers' mark missed by Donovan from the RF-2 site and identified by Scarlett Chiu; (B) makers' mark on RL2 153/29 as identified by Donovan; (C) SZ8 116/1 as identified by Donovan.	112
Figure 7.3: Maker's marks on sherds from Talepakemalai site, Eloaua Island, Mussau Group.	113
Figure 7.4: Makers' marks from Teouma Lapita site, Efate Island, Vanuatu.	114
Figure 7.5: Makers' marks on Chinese Neolithic pottery and later Shang culture numerals for comparison.	116
Figure 8.1: Summary of Late Lapita Tongan vessel forms. Not to scale.	131
Figure 8.2: Dentate and shell-impressed Lapita designs from Tonga.	132
Figure 8.3: Summary of Fijian Late Lapita vessel forms and decoration.	134
Figure 8.4: A selection of Vanuatu Late Lapita vessel forms and decoration.	136
Figure 8.5: Late Lapita zigzag dentate and flat tool stamped decoration from Vanuatu.	137
Figure 8.6: A range of Late Lapita New Caledonian vessel forms and decoration.	139
Figure 8.7: South Papuan coast Late Lapita vessel forms and decoration.	142
Figure 8.8: Shell-impressed sherds from Lapita sites across the distribution.	144
Figure 9.1: The Willaumez Peninsula showing the location of the Isthmus region, FRI site, obsidian sources and the volcanic centres at Dakataua and Witori.	154
Figure 9.2: The tephrostratigraphy of the region defines the chronological phases used to monitor cultural change.	156
Figure 9.3: The 25 test pits from the Isthmus region used in the study are spread around the edges of the Kulu River floodplain, along the coastal divide and on the coastal plain.	157
Figure 9.4: Plot of the obsidian source reference samples (coloured symbols) versus artefacts (black dots).	160
Figure 9.5: Chronological changes in the percentage of obsidian artefacts from each source.	161
Figure 9.6: The percentage of obsidian artefacts from each source compared between test pits in coastal and inland locations.	163
Figure 9.7: Changes in reduction stages through time at FRI and in the Isthmus region.	168
Figure 10.1: Bootless Bay with clay and sand sampling locations by Owen Rye.	180
Figure 10.2: PCA of Rye source pellets, using pXRF.	185
Figure 10.3: PCA of Rye source and Motupore pottery pellets, using pXRF.	186
Figure 10.4: Bivariate analysis of Rye source pellets, using pXRF.	186
Figure 10.5: Bivariate analysis of Rye source and Motupore pottery pellets, using pXRF.	187
Figure 10.6: PCA and HCA of Rye clays, using SEM. Triangles represented clays fired to 500 °C, circles represent clays fired to 800 °C.	189
Figure 10.7: PCA of Motupore and Boera/Davage pottery, compared to Rye clays, using SEM.	191
Figure 10.8: Ternary plot diagram of clays and sands.	192
Figure 10.9: Ternary plot diagram of Motupore and Boera pottery: quartz, feldspar, pyroxene.	193
Figure 10.10: Ternary plot diagram of Motupore and Boera pottery: quartz, feldspar, shell.	194

Figure 10.11: SEM micrographs of Motupore pottery.	196
Figure 11.1: Papuan South Coast, showing places mentioned in the text.	204
Figure 11.2: The Massim, showing places mentioned in the text.	204
Figure 11.3: Ring armshells.	206
Figure 11.4: Brumer Islanders dancing on the deck of HMS <i>Rattlesnake</i> on 28 August 1849. <i>Conus</i> armshells are worn above the elbows of some of the dancers.	207
Figure 11.5: Multiple segmented armshells.	208
Figure 11.6: The cultural valuation of armshells differed between the Massim and the Papuan South Coast.	211
Figure 11.7: Bêche-de-mer fishing was the main economic activity in British New Guinea until alluvial gold was discovered on Sudest in 1887.	213
Figure 12.1: Map of central-southern New Guinea showing Marind territory (brown line) and igneous rock outcrops.	233
Figure 12.2: Marind stone axes and stone-headed clubs.	235
Figure 12.3: Marind men and stone-headed clubs.	237
Figure 12.4: Various hafted <i>imbassum</i> .	238
Figure 12.5: Marind <i>imbassum</i> stones collected by Paul Wirz between 1915 and 1922 and housed in the Museum für Völkerkunde (now Museum of Cultures), Basel, Switzerland.	239
Figure 12.6: Marind <i>imbassum</i> and stone club heads, examined by IM and FvG.	241
Figure 13.1: (A) Map of Island New Guinea; (B) Massim island region; (C) Panaeati Island.	267
Figure 13.2: Calibrated radiocarbon dates from systematically excavated sites in the Massim region with heuristic chronological divisions based on south coast and Massim datasets.	269
Figure 13.3: Aerial drone images of Mumwa and the surrounding landscape.	271
Figure 13.4: Stratigraphic profile of the Mumwa site, Squares A and C.	272
Figure 13.5: Lithic artefacts from Mumwa.	275
Figure 13.6: Tanged blade (A) and mortar rim (B) from Mumwa compared with other examples from the Massim and island New Guinea.	276
Figure 13.7: Excavated pottery from Squares A–C and spade pits.	278
Figure 13.8: Surface pottery from Mumwa not well represented in excavation.	280
Figure 13.9: Box and whisker plot of decorated sherd thickness recovered from Square A, Mumwa, attributed to SMCP or styles defined by Bickler (1998) for Woodlark Island.	281
Figure 13.S1: Early Period pottery (~1050–500 cal. BP) recorded on Woodlark Island.	291
Figure 13.S2: Late Period pottery (<500 cal. BP) recorded on Woodlark Island.	292
Figure 14.1: The western Pacific islands, showing the Near and Remote Oceania boundary, the major island groups and the Bismarck (1), Solomon (2) and Coral (3) Seas.	298
Figure 14.2: New Guinea and the Bismarck Archipelago, showing the main places and language areas cited in the text.	300
Figure 15.1: Location of Lifou Island in the western Pacific and positioning of site LWT085 of Tivoli.	320
Figure 15.2: GPS map of the location of the tumuli recorded in Tivoli. The group of low flat mounds is highlighted.	321
Figure 15.3: Graph of the diameter/height of the tumuli recorded at Tivoli, differentiating the group of low flat mounds.	322

Figure 15.4: Stratigraphic profile of the trench excavated in mound Tu.17.	325
Figure 15.5: Stratigraphic profile of Test pit 1 in mound Tu.20.	327
Figure 15.6: Map of mound Tu.42 and stratigraphic profile of Test Pit 1.	328
Figure 15.7: Profile of the fill of large coral blocks forming mound Tu.53.	330
Figure 15.8: Example of mound Tu.61, a large tumulus at the south-east edge of the site of Tivoli.	330
Figure 15.9: Tumulus KTU049 on the central plateau of the Isle of Pines, showing the double alignment of pebbles of the path leading to the top of the mound.	333
Figure 16.1: The major regions of Japan.	343
Figure 16.2: The Nishida site, Middle Jomon phase (Iwate prefecture).	344
Figure 16.3: Jomon pots excavated at the Komakino site.	344
Figure 16.4: The Komakino stone circles with a small central ring, two larger concentric circles with hints of a fourth ring outside of those and a series of stone alignments oriented on the movement of the sun or prominent features of the landscape.	346
Figure 16.5: The detailed chronology from the Late Jomon to Middle Yayoi showing the main pottery types and some important sites across Japan.	349
Figure 16.6: The Yayoi settlement at Etsuji village, Fukuoka prefecture, with a large rectangular building and granaries surrounded by a circle of round pit houses and two cemeteries to the south.	350
Figure 17.1: Map of circum-New Guinea islands showing key early pottery sites.	361
Figure 17.2: The northern Raja Ampat Islands.	363
Figure 17.3: Mololo Cave (WAI-1) site plan and excavation sequence showing Late Holocene radiocarbon determinations.	364
Figure 17.4: Manwen Bokor Cave (WAI-42) site plan and excavation sequence showing radiocarbon determinations.	367
Figure 17.5: Rims from Mololo Cave, Trench 1.	370
Figure 17.6: Harris matrix showing number of sherds per fabric group in each stratigraphic context at Mololo Cave.	371
Figure 17.7: Decorated sherds from Mololo Cave.	372
Figure 17.8: Harris matrix showing number of sherds with surface treatment in each stratigraphic context at Mololo Cave.	373
Figure 17.9: Provisional <i>chaînes opératoires</i> for four ceramic technical classes at Mololo Cave.	374
Figure 17.10: Rims from Manwen Bokor.	376
Figure 17.11: Number of sherds per techno-fabric group in each stratigraphic context at Manwen Bokor Cave.	377
Figure 17.12: Decorated potsherds from Manwen Bokor Cave, Unit 1, Layer 1 (001).	378
Figure 17.13: Rim form comparisons.	381
Figure 17.14: Circle stamp traditions from Island Southeast Asian late Neolithic and early Metal Age ceramics, alongside Lapita pottery.	383
Figure 18.1: The location of the Simbai–Kaironk Valleys.	395
Figure 18.2: Waisted chert artefacts as surface finds from the Upper Kaironk Valley.	396
Figure 18.3: Alvan spur excavation.	397
Figure 18.4: Microscopic wear documented on artefact KAI25-SP7-20cm-bs.	401
Figure 18.5: A sample of the starch grains recovered from the surface of KAI25-SP7-20cm-bs.	403

Figure 18.6: Representative examples of starch grains from the comparative reference set used in the analysis of the pestle fragment (KAI25-SP7-20cm-bs), Kaironk Valley, Madang Province, PNG.	404
Figure 18.7: Box plots of maximum diameter through the hilum, MaxD, starch grain area, starch grain perimeter and starch grain hilum position for the comparative reference set and the archaeological samples KAI25-SP7-20cm-bs (indicated in bold).	406
Figure 18.8: Histogram plots of size metrics.	407
Figure 18.9: Venn diagram indicating the number of grains of the KAI25-SP7-20cm-bs-2 artefact sample attributed to species in the reference set.	409
Figure 19.1: Community-held heirloom shell money being displayed by the priest of Outau Village, south of Lau Lagoon, eastern Malaita, May 2016.	419
Figure 19.2: Map of the Solomon Islands, showing key locations mentioned in the text.	420
Figure 19.3: Shell money string MAA Z10604/E1902.190 from the collections of the Museum of Archaeology and Anthropology, University of Cambridge.	421
Figure 19.4: Detail of <i>Chama</i> sp. red beads and white beads mainly manufactured from <i>Anadara antiquata</i> and <i>Tegillarca granosa</i> from Z10604/E1902.190 at 35× magnification.	422
Figure 19.5: Alternating white Arcidae and darker palm endocarp and plant stem beads from Z10604/E1902.190 at 35× magnification.	422
Figure 19.6: Shell money string Z10855 from the collection of the Museum of Archaeology and Anthropology, University of Cambridge.	423
Figure 19.7: White beads of various diameters and degrees of wear manufactured from a mix of <i>Anadara antiquata</i> , <i>Tegillarca granosa</i> and <i>Imbricariopsis punctata</i> from Z10855 at 30× magnification.	424
Figure 19.8: Bayesian modelling of calibrated radiocarbon determinations from beads from Z10604/E1902.190 and Z10855.	425

This text is taken from *Forty Years in the South Seas: Archaeological Perspectives on the Human History of Papua New Guinea and the Western Pacific Region*, edited by Anne Ford, Ben Shaw and Dylan Gaffney, published 2024 by ANU Press, The Australian National University, Canberra, Australia.