

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
PREVIOUS WORK ON THE SYDNEY ROCK ART – THE RESEARCH CONTEXT	3
SAMPLING ISSUES.....	4
THE ANALYSIS – ROCK ART AS STYLISTIC MESSAGING.....	5
2. THE SYDNEY BASIN - ENVIRONMENTAL CONTEXT.....	6
GEOLOGY OF THE SYDNEY BASIN.....	6
GEOMORPHOLOGY: STRUCTURE AND TERRAIN	6
CLIMATIC AND EUSTATIC CHANGE.....	11
VEGETATION.....	13
Ku-ring-gai Chase National Park	13
Mangrove Creek.....	13
Yengo National Park	14
CONCLUSIONS.....	15
3. SOCIAL CONTEXT.....	16
SOCIAL ORGANISATION AND LANGUAGE BOUNDARIES.....	17
Linguistic Evidence.....	20
LAND USE STRATEGIES AND HABITATIONS.....	22
Living sites	23
MATERIAL CULTURE.....	24
Shields	25
CEREMONIAL BEHAVIOUR AND INITIATION	25
Culture Heroes.....	27
ART.....	28
BODY MARKING AND PERSONAL ATTIRE.....	29
THE IMPACT OF EUROPEAN CONTACT ON ABORIGINAL SOCIETY	31
THE RELEVANCE OF ETHNOHISTORIC EVIDENCE TO PATTERNING IN THE ART	32
4. ARCHAEOLOGICAL CONTEXT	34
A BEHAVIOURAL MODEL FOR THE SYDNEY REGION.....	39
Summary of the model with likely art correlates	41
5. THE ROCK ART OF THE SYDNEY BASIN	43
SYDNEY ART IN THE GENERAL SCHEME OF AUSTRALIAN ROCK ART.....	43
PREVIOUS WORK IN THE SYDNEY REGION – THE GROWTH OF A DATA BASE	45
THE SYDNEY BASIN ART STYLE: A CURRENT DEFINITION	46
Schema	46
Chronology.....	46
The Samples	51
THE OPEN ENGRAVING SITES	51
Assemblage Size	51

Site Nature and Topographic Location.....	51
Site Associations	52
Motifs	53
The Georges River style boundary.....	53
THE SHELTER ART SITES.....	55
Assemblage Size	55
Site Nature and Topographic Location.....	55
Site Associations	56
Motifs	56
Technique	58
COMPARISON OF THE TWO ART CONTEXTS: SHELTERED AND OPEN ART SITES.....	65
Assemblage Size	65
Topographic location.....	66
Motif.....	66
Composition	67
6. EXCAVATIONS AT YENGO 1 AND YENGO 2.....	69
ENVIRONMENTAL CONTEXT	70
THE SITES.....	71
Yengo 1 (AHIMS #37-5-1)	71
The Art.....	71
The Hand Stencils	74
Left or right?.....	79
Size.....	79
The Grinding Grooves.....	81
Yengo 2 (AHIMS #37-5-2)	83
Stencils	84
EXCAVATION PROCEDURES	88
Yengo 1.....	88
Yengo 2.....	90
RESULTS - YENGO 1.....	90
Stratigraphy	90
Stratigraphic Layers	91
Dates.....	98
The Excavated Lithic Assemblage	99
Artefact Density.....	100
Assemblage Characteristics.....	100
Artefacts with Retouch/Usewear	100
Cores	101
Backed Artefacts.....	101
Ground Fragments	102
Other Implement Types	102

Hafting Residue	102
The Analysis Squares.....	102
Assemblage Characteristics	102
Raw Material	102
Size	103
Artefact Types.....	105
Vertical Distribution of Material	107
Artefact Density and Percentage frequency	108
Artefact deposition rates	109
Raw Materials.....	112
Size	113
Artefact types.....	113
Amorphously retouched flakes and flaked pieces.....	114
Backed Artefacts.....	115
Ground Fragments	116
Cores	116
Summary	118
Faunal Remains.....	120
Pigment	125
Activity areas: spatial patterning.....	125
Artefact Density	125
Implements and other artefact types	126
Other Economic Remains.....	127
Shell.....	127
Pigment	128
Seeds	128
Faunal material.....	128
RESULTS - YENGO 2.....	129
Stratigraphy	130
Cultural Material	130
DISCUSSION AND INTERPRETATION.....	130
Yengo 1.....	130
Artefact accumulation rates.....	132
The Lithic Assemblage.....	132
The Faunal Remains.....	134
The Engravings	134
The Pigment Art	136
Stencils	137
The Grinding Grooves.....	138
What Type of Site?	138
Yengo 2.....	140
THE YENGO SITES IN A REGIONAL CONTEXT	141

7. EXCAVATION AT THE GREAT MACKEREL ROCKSHELTER	143
ENVIRONMENTAL CONTEXT	143
THE SITE	143
The Art.....	144
Stencils	145
The Deposit	145
Field methods	149
Results	149
Cultural Material	149
Stratigraphy	150
Dates.....	152
Shell.....	153
Proportions of Shellfish Species.....	154
Temporal Variation in Shellfish Exploitation	156
Size	157
The Bone.....	161
Dietary Estimates.....	162
Stone Artefacts.....	163
Raw Material	163
Size.....	163
Artefact Types	163
Change through time	164
Shell Artefacts.....	166
The Pigment.....	168
DISCUSSION AND INTERPRETATION.....	169
8. EXCAVATIONS AT UPSIDE-DOWN-MAN.....	171
ENVIRONMENTAL CONTEXT	172
THE SITE	172
The Art	172
Stencils	172
Engravings.....	173
Change over time.....	176
Art Dates.....	181
THE EXCAVATION.....	183
Aims	183
Methods.....	183
Stratigraphy	184
Correlation of Excavation Layers with Stratigraphic Layers.....	186
Dates.....	186
Cultural Material	187
Stone Artefacts, Charcoal and Unsorted Residue.....	188

Shell and Bone	189
Plant Remains and Pigment.....	189
Change over time.....	189
ARTEFACT ANALYSIS	190
Raw Material	191
Size	192
Artefact Types	192
Vertical Distribution	192
Artefact Density	193
Raw Material	196
Size	199
Artefact Type.....	200
Discussion	204
The Archaeology of Mangrove Creek	205
UMCC	205
Sampling Issues.....	206
Discussion	208
Interpretation of the Upside-Down-Man site.....	210
Assemblage Size and Characteristics	211
Artefact Accumulation Rates	211
Hatchets and grinding technology.....	212
The art and the occupation evidence	213
The AMS samples	214
Upside-Down Man in the local context.....	215
9. THE CONTEMPORANEITY OF ART AND DEPOSIT	217
THE PROBLEM, PREVIOUS APPROACHES, CURRENT AIMS	217
REGIONAL PATTERNS FOR THE SYDNEY REGION	220
Henry Lawson Drive	223
Mill Creek	223
Barden's Creek	223
Bull Cave.....	224
Mangrove Creek.....	224
Dingo and Horned Anthropomorph.....	224
Emu Tracks 2.....	225
Angophora Reserve	225
Audley	225
Daley's Point (Milligan's)	226
CONCLUSIONS	226
10. DIACHRONIC VARIATION IN THE ART OF THE SYDNEY BASIN	229
A PREVIOUS REGIONAL APPROACH TO DIACHRONIC VARIABILITY	230
AN EARLIER MODEL FOR DIACHRONIC CHANGE IN THE SHELTER ART	231

DIACHRONIC VARIABILITY IN THE ART OF MANGROVE CREEK	232
The Sample and the Technique variables	232
Superimposition Analysis	236
Changes in Motif Preference over Time	237
Multivariate Analyses	241
Small sites	243
Medium sites	244
Large and complex sites	244
Conclusions	245
MANGROVE CREEK ART SEQUENCE	246
11. SYNCHRONIC VARIATION: SYDNEY BASIN ENGRAVED ART	251
INTRODUCTION	251
DEFINING A REGIONAL STYLE: METHODOLOGY	252
Motif Variables	252
Counting	253
Analyses	253
CORRESPONDENCE ANALYSIS (CA): DATA, RESULTS AND INTERPRETATION	253
Regional Analysis	255
Language Areas. Searching for boundaries and between-group distinctiveness	255
Motif Assemblage Differences across the Basin	258
Darkingung	259
Darug	259
Guringai	259
Sydney (Eora)	259
Tharawal	259
Summary	262
CORRESPONDENCE ANALYSIS AND LANGUAGE AREAS	263
Drainage Basins	263
CA according to drainage basins	263
1) Darkingung language group (drainage basins 1, 5 and 6)	263
2) the Guringai/Darug language boundary (drainage basins 10 - 13)	266
3) The Tharawal language area (drainage basins 18 – 21)	270
Conclusions	271
RIDGE TOP VS VERTICAL ENGRAVING SITES	272
RARE MOTIFS	275
COMPOSITION	278
Shields	278
Culture Heroes	286
ENGRAVING SITES: CONCLUSIONS	289
12. REGIONAL SYNCHRONIC VARIATION: SHELTER ART	292
INTRODUCTION	292

CORRESPONDENCE ANALYSIS: REGIONAL DATA, RESULTS AND INTERPRETATION	292
Motif	292
Technique	294
Regional Comparison	295
Language Areas	296
Motif and Technical Variation across the Basin	298
Darkingung Language Area	298
Darug Language Area	300
Guringai Language Area	302
Sydney (Eora) Language Area	304
Tharawal Language Area	305
Summary	307
CORRESPONDENCE ANALYSIS, LANGUAGE AREAS AND DRAINAGE BASINS	308
Shelter Art Motifs	308
1) Darkingung Language Area (drainage basins 1, 5 and 6)	308
2) East-west patterning Guringai/Darug language boundary (drainage basins 10 - 13)	311
3) The Tharawal language area (drainage basins 18 - 21)	315
Summary	319
Shelter Art Technique	319
1) Darkingung language group (drainage basins 1, 5 and 6)	319
2) East-west patterning Guringai/Darug language boundary (drainage basins 10 - 13)	321
3) Tharawal and Darug language areas (Basins 18 - 21)	325
Summary	328
RARE MOTIFS	329
COMPOSITION	331
Shields	331
Culture Heroes	336
SHELTER ART SITES: CONCLUSIONS	338
13. DREAMTIME SUPERHIGHWAY: MODELLING A REGIONAL STYLE	340
ART CHARACTERISTICS IN TWO CONTEXTS	340
Engraving sites	340
Shelter art sites	340
General comparisons of the two contexts	341
CONTEMPORANEITY OF ART AND DEPOSIT	342
DIACHRONIC CHANGE IN THE SHELTER ART	342
SYNCHRONIC VARIABILITY: BOTH ART COMPONENTS	343
Engravings	343
Shelter Art Sites	344
SOCIAL CONTEXT AND STYLISTIC INFORMATION	345
Shelters vs engravings	345

Public vs Private engravings	347
A MODEL FOR SOCIAL AND TERRITORIAL INTERACTION ACROSS THE REGION	347
Pre Bondaian 30,000 years ago to 8,000BP	349
Early Bondaian 8,000 years to c.4,000 years BP	349
Middle Bondaian c.4,000 years to c.1,000 years BP.....	349
Late Bondaian c.1,000 years to European contact	349
14. REFERENCES	352

Tables

Table 4.1: Open Site excavations on the Cumberland Plain since 1993, showing areas excavated (testing and open area), total lithics and artefacts retrieved.	34
Table 4.2: The Eastern Regional Sequence (dates after JMcD CHM 2005e).	39
Table 5.1: The Sydney Basin Engraving component: motif frequency and %f.	54
Table 5.2: The Sydney Basin Engraved Component: clumped motif frequency, %frequency of totals and identifiable motifs.....	54
Table 5.3: Shelter Art component: summary motif frequency information.	57
Table 5.4: Shelter Art component: clumped motif frequency and % frequency.	57
Table 5.5: Shelter Art component: summary technique frequency information.	65
Table 6.1: Yengo 1. Art Assemblage. Motif and technique information.	74
Table 6.2: Yengo 1. Left and right handedness of the coloured stencils.	79
Table 6.3: Yengo 1. Size ranges of hand stencils (group $n \geq 3$).	80
Table 6.4: Yengo 1. The grinding grooves (measurements in centimetres).	81
Table 6.5: Yengo 2. Art Assemblage. Motif and technique information.	83
Table 6.6: Yengo 2. Handedness (left or right) of the coloured stencils.	84
Table 6.7: Yengo 2. Size ranges of hand stencils (where $n > 3$).	85
Table 6.8: Yengo 1. Excavated Pit Dimensions.	88
Table 6.9: Yengo 1. Excavated Depth, Spits and Stratigraphic Layers. All excavated squares.	96
Table 6.10: Yengo 1. Correlation of spits with analytical units and stratigraphic layers: Analysis squares (1B, 4A, 3S and 6) only.	97
Table 6.11: Yengo 1. Radiocarbon determinations.	97
Table 6.12: Yengo 1. Features dated by the charcoal samples. Identification number is that used on stratigraphic sections (Figure 6.30).	98
Table 6.13: Yengo 1. Average artefact densities (density ¹ = artefacts/m ³ ; density ² = artefacts/kg).....	99
Table 6.14: Yengo 1. Cores.	100
Table 6.15: Yengo 1. Distribution of retouched and broken backed blades across the site.	100
Table 6.16: Yengo 1. Artefact Totals per Spit both Analysis Squares.	102
Table 6.17: Artefact Totals per Spit; Squares 1B, 4A, 3S, 6. Artefacts >1cm.	102
Table 6.18: Yengo 1. Raw Material Percentages in Squares 1B-4 and 3S-6.....	103
Table 6.19: Yengo 1. Size ranges, non-modified artefacts, Squares 1B-4 and 3S-6.	103
Table 6.20: Size ranges: modified artefact and core assemblage; Squares 1B-4 and 3S-6.	103

Table 6.21:	Yengo 1. Age-depth calculations. Artefact totals and bone weights per 100 years (refer Figure 6.37, Table 6.9).....	110
Table 6.22:	Yengo 1. Size ranges of cores in the analysis squares (per stratigraphic layer).....	113
Table 6.23:	Yengo 1. Size ranges of artefacts with R/U in each stratigraphic layer. Includes ground fragments and backed blades.....	113
Table 6.24:	Yengo 1. Core platform characteristics per stratigraphic layer.	117
Table 6.25:	Taxonomic composition of the Yengo1 faunal assemblage.	123
Table 6.26:	Breakdown of animal varieties identified at Yengo 1. Categories of Small, Medium and Large by NISP.	124
Table 6.27:	Yengo 1. Distribution of pipeclay and pigment across the site and throughout the sequence.	125
Table 6.28:	Yengo 1. Distribution of implements, R/U and micro-debitage (artefacts <1cm) across the site.	129
Table 6.29:	Yengo 2. Location of artefacts and charcoal throughout the two test pits.	130
Table 7.1:	Art Assemblage: Motif and Technique Information.....	144
Table 7.2:	Proportions of shell, bone, artefacts, charcoal and pigment in cultural material (all squares).	149
Table 7.3:	Distribution of Cultural Material by Square. Weights in grams.....	149
Table 7.4:	Correlation of excavated spits (Squares 6, 6A, 6B and 8), analytical units and stratigraphic layers.	152
Table 7.5:	Radiocarbon dates, depth below surface and stratigraphic associations.	153
Table 7.6:	Age depth calculations. Artefacts accumulating per 100 year.	153
Table 7.7:	Shell species identified at the Great Mackerel site (after Dakin 1980).	154
Table 7.8:	Estimated Number of Individuals (MNI) in Squares 6 and 8.	157
Table 7.9:	Weight (in g.) of shellfish species in Squares 6 and 8.....	158
Table 7.10:	Square 6; Minimum numbers: change through time by analytical unit.	159
Table 7.11:	Square 8. Minimum numbers: Change through time by analytical unit.	159
Table 7.12:	Square 6. Shellfish species and other cultural material; change over time by analytical unit. Weight in grams except where indicated.	160
Table 7.13:	Great Mackerel Square 8. Shellfish species and other cultural components through time per analytical unit. Weights in grams except where indicated.....	161
Table 7.14:	Squares 6 and 8. Dominant shell species - peak midden units.....	161
Table 7.15:	Great Mackerel, all squares. Raw materials and artefact totals.	163
Table 7.16:	Artefacts with Retouch/usewear. Raw Material vertical distribution.	164
Table 7.17:	Squares 6. Changes in Raw Material, per cultural layer.	165
Table 7.18:	Square 8. Changes in Raw Material, per cultural layer.	166
Table 7.19:	Great Mackerel, Square 6. Distribution of shell artefacts.	168
Table 7.20:	Great Mackerel. Distribution of pipeclay throughout the archaeological sequence (weight in grams).	168
Table 8.1:	UDM Shelter. Motif and Technique Information.	175
Table 8.2:	UDM Shelter. Technique variables. Variables in identified superimposition relationships (Table 8.4) highlighted in bold.....	176
Table 8.3:	Proposed Diachronic Sequence; Mangrove Creek Shelter Site Phases 1-3. Those elements observed at UDM in bold.	180
Table 8.4:	UDM shelter. Recorded superimposition information.	180

Table 8.5:	UDM Shelter. AMS dates from the two macropod motifs.	181
Table 8.6:	UDM Shelter. Correlation of analytical units with excavation spits and stratigraphic layers (Squares 4, 6 and 8).	186
Table 8.7:	Radiocarbon dates, depth below surface and stratigraphic associations.	187
Table 8.8:	Proportions of lithic, charcoal, shell, bone, residue and 'other' from the excavated squares. Weights in grams.	187
Table 8.9:	Proportions of cultural material retrieved from the excavated squares. Weights in grams except where specified.	188
Table 8.10:	UDM Shelter. Artefact Types according to raw material and size. The three analysed squares (6B, 8B and 8C).	193
Table 8.11:	UDM Shelter. Modified artefacts and raw material types.	193
Table 8.12:	UDM Shelter. R/U artefacts and cores and raw material types.	195
Table 8.13:	UDM Shelter. Age-depth calculations: artefacts per year.	195
Table 8.14:	UDM Shelter. Size ranges for backed blades and artefacts with retouch/ usewear per stratigraphic layer.	199
Table 8.15:	UDM Shelter. Size ranges for cores per stratigraphic layer.	199
Table 8.16:	UDM Shelter. Raw material per analytical unit. All Artefacts.	199
Table 8.17:	UDM Shelter. Raw material per analytical unit. Artefacts >1cm.	200
Table 8.18:	Platform characteristics over time. Frequency per analytical unit.	201
Table 8.19:	UDM Shelter. Artefacts with R/U per stratigraphic layer. Platform and flaked piece types.	202
Table 8.20:	Colour distribution of ground FGB artefacts; as per stratigraphic unit.	203
Table 8.21:	UMCC Sites with >100 excavated artefacts. Reworked estimated artefacts totals in successive periods of time (Attenbrow 2004: Table 6.7).	207
Table 8.22:	UMCC Sites with >100 excavated artefacts. Reworked estimated totals of artefacts in successive periods of time (Attenbrow 2004: Table 6.13).	208
Table 8.23:	UDM: Rates of Artefact accumulations in successive phases.	211
Table 9.1:	Excavated shelters with art in the Sydney region.	221
Table 10.1:	Techniques and/or colour. Artistic variables in the diachronic analysis.	234
Table 10.2:	Mangrove Creek valley: different sized assemblages located in Upper Mangrove Creek compared with the midstream Warre Warren sites.	234
Table 10.3:	Mangrove Creek shelter art sites. Number and % frequency of motifs for each technique variable.	236
Table 10.4:	Mangrove Creek shelter art sites: Sites at which different technique variables are present.	237
Table 10.5:	Mangrove Creek Shelter Art sites. Superimposition sequence.	241
Table 10.6:	Mangrove Creek shelter art: Motif totals in the proposed art phases.	242
Table 10.7:	Proposed Diachronic Sequence in the Mangrove Creek sites. Mangrove Creek Pigment Phases 1-3.	242
Table 10.8:	Phased Sequence: Mangrove Creek Shelter Art sites.	243
Table 10.9:	Techniques and/or colour variables used in Correspondence Analysis.	245
Table 10.10:	Excavated shelters with radiocarbon determinations, estimated archaeological phases and designated art phases.	248
Table 11.1:	Engraving Sites. Clumped motif variables in Correspondence Analysis.	253
Table 11.2:	Analytical grouping of engraving sites according to AHIMS numbers. These groups were used in the regional interpretation of the CA results.	254

Table 11.3:	Language areas, codes and sample sizes.....	258
Table 11.4:	Drainage Basins, Language Areas and Sample sizes.....	264
Table 11.5:	Engraving sites. Motif total, maximum incidence at any particular site, number of sites in the region with motif present, and % of sites with motif.....	276
Table 11.6:	Rare Engraving Motifs. Distribution per Language Area.....	277
Table 11.7:	Engraving sites. Significant values for rare motifs per language areas.....	278
Table 11.8:	Engraved sites. Shield Design Types according to language areas and drainage basins. Sites with single design types only.....	283
Table 11.9:	Engraving sites. Shields Distribution of mixed design types according to language areas and drainage basins. Type 2C, 4 and 5 varieties.....	285
Table 11.10:	Engraving sites. Culture heroes: compositional details.....	286
Table 11.11:	Daramulan and Biaime types, language areas and drainage basins.....	288
Table 12.1:	Shelter Art sites: Clumped motif variables in Correspondence Analysis.....	293
Table 12.2:	Analytical grouping of shelter art sites used in regional analysis.....	293
Table 12.3:	Shelter Art sites: technique variables used in the CA.....	295
Table 12.4:	Shelter Art sites (motif): Language areas, codes and sample sizes.....	308
Table 12.5:	Shelter Art sites (motif): Drainage Basins, Language Areas and Samples.....	309
Table 12.6:	Shelter Art Motif totals. Maximum motif incidence, number of sites in the region with motif present, and % of sites with motif.....	329
Table 12.7:	Rare Shelter Art Motifs: Distribution per Language Area.....	331
Table 12.8:	Shelter art motifs. Significant values of rare motifs per language area.....	331
Table 12.9:	Shelter Art sites. Culture heroes: compositional details.....	337
Table 13.1:	Statistics for regional assemblage sizes, both art components.....	346
Table 13.2:	Statistics for total number of motif categories recorded in both art components across the region.....	346

Illustrations

Figure 1.1:	The study area. The Sydney Basin showing the extent of Hawkesbury sandstone, cities and major rivers.....	2
Figure 2.1:	A typical upper tributary gully with a ridgeline in background. Six engraving sites were located in this gully.....	7
Figure 2.2:	The Georges River to the south of the region. View to the west from Alford's Point.....	7
Figure 2.3:	Engraving site on the ridge top overlooking Berowra Creek. This vast expanse of sandstone only had a single engraved motif on it.....	8
Figure 2.4:	A relatively small boulder with engravings near Maroota, south of the Hawkesbury River. Mundos and anthropomorphs are on this sloping surface.....	8
Figure 2.5:	Large shelter with honeycomb weathering near Warre Warren Creek. Pigment art is located on a smooth surface at one side of this shelter.....	9
Figure 2.6:	This small overhang near Wheelbarrow Ridge forms a lip in an extensive sandstone platform. Despite its small size, this shelter has a moderately large pigment art assemblage on its ceiling.....	9
Figure 2.7:	Large free-standing boulders such as this one near Howes Valley provide ideal shelters for a range of assemblage sizes.....	10
Figure 2.8:	This is the smallest recorded shelter in the region, near Warre Warren Creek. Three pigment motifs were found in this overhang.....	10

Figure 3.1:	The four language areas defined for the region (after Capell 1970).	21
Figure 4.1:	Radiocarbon determinations from Sydney region, millennial sequence.	41
Figure 5.1:	Engraving Sites. Percentage frequency of different assemblage sizes.	51
Figure 5.2:	Engravings in Ku-ring-gai Chase National Park. Male and female figures and fish positioned in a tessellated pavement.	47
Figure 5.3:	Engravings in Ku-ring-gai Chase. Fish and bird motifs positioned within and across natural tessellations.	47
Figure 5.4:	Large macropod engraving at Maroota, south of the Hawkesbury River. This macropod is being struck by two boomerangs. The row of pits crossing this motif traverses the entire assemblage.	48
Figure 5.5	Engraved shield motif near Berowra Creek. The internal design on this shield matches early ethnohistoric descriptions of the St George Cross.	48
Figure 5.6:	Devils Rock Maroota. Recording engravings in daylight using large acetate mirrors. In foreground is a Biaime culture hero.	49
Figure 5.7:	Large emu with clutch of eggs. The emu tracks are in outline form unlike the pecked intaglio version often found in the region's rockshelters.	49
Figure 5.8:	Macropod motif, superimposed by a post-contact sailing ship.	50
Figure 5.9:	Engraved snake motif consisting of five parallel zig-zag lines. The individual peck marks are clearly visible.	50
Figure 5.10:	Shelter Art Sites: assemblage size information.	55
Figure 5.11:	Frieze of charcoal women and men beneath a red outlined wombat; hand stencils, white paintings and drawings and engraved macropod tracks	59
Figure 5.12:	Black outlined and infilled turtle with linear infill positioned in a scalloped alcove with a faded, infilled snake motif on the surface below	59
Figure 5.13:	Black infilled and white outlined dingoes and macropods on Yatala Creek near the Colo River. These motifs are large and affected by water seepage	60
Figure 5.14:	Swinton's shelter, with its heavily superimposed art. Stencils and drawings in red, black and white and a red horned anthropomorph	60
Figure 5.15:	Black and white bichrome goannas (Phase 3) over red painted goannas (Phase 2) at the northern end of Swinton's shelter.	61
Figure 5.16:	Three Birds Site: Engraved bird and macropod tracks on shelf at back of shelter just above floor level.	61
Figure 5.17:	Cafe's Cave, Putty: near the northern limit of the study area. White painted complex-non-figuratives (CXNF), hand and axe stencils.	62
Figure 5.18:	Tic Alley: white painted anthropomorph and shield with internal design (2B). These motifs are painted over black drawings.	62
Figure 5.19:	Gunyah Beach, near the confluence of Cowan Creek and the Hawkesbury River. Stencilled fish tails.	63
Figure 5.20:	Boorai Creek, Wollemi National Park. Red stencilled kangaroo tails. This site also has stencils of boomerangs, hands and a kangaroo skin bag.	63
Figure 5.21:	Colo Heights. Panel of stencilled material objects including boomerangs, parrying shield, axe, woomera and large hand stencils.	64
Figure 5.22:	Swinton's shelter. Pink and bichrome stencils of boomerangs, and hand stencils.	64
Figure 5.23:	Motif preferences for the two art contexts. Motif classification (excluding unidentified motifs).	67
Figure 5.24:	Subject preference for the two art contexts. Clumped motif classes.	68
Figure 6.1:	Locality Map showing site context.	69
Figure 6.2:	Site Plan, Yengo 1.	72

Figure 6.3:	Cross-sections, Yengo 1.	73
Figure 6.4:	Engraved panel at the front of Yengo 1, showing the engravings and their (excavated) depth below the surface level.	73
Figure 6.5:	Yengo 1: grinding grooves - pecked and pounded areas.	75
Figure 6.6:	View upslope towards sites Yengo 1 and Yengo 2 (#'s 37-5-1 and 37-5-2). Sites are arrowed - Yengo 1 is on the left.	76
Figure 6.7:	Yengo 1. The engraved panel at front of shelter prior to excavation.	76
Figure 6.8:	Yengo 1. Interior view of shelter showing relationship between engraved boulders stencilled art on ceiling and deposit and square (1A).	77
Figure 6.9:	Yengo 1. Detail of stencils and white painted motifs on rear wall.	77
Figure 6.10:	Yengo 1. White and red hand stencils on rear wall of shelter.	78
Figure 6.11:	Yengo 1. White stencils and painted complex-non-figurative design on back wall of shelter.	78
Figure 6.12:	Yengo 1. Stencils. The range, median and variance (in cm).	80
Figure 6.13:	Yengo 1. Grinding grooves on sloping back wall.	82
Figure 6.14:	Yengo 1. Detail of axe found in undergrowth outside the shelter.	82
Figure 6.15:	Yengo 2. Site Plan.	85
Figure 6.16:	Yengo 2. Cross-sections.	86
Figure 6.17:	Yengo 2. Black and white possums and goannas over red motifs.	86
Figure 6.18:	Yengo 2. Black and white goanna, black drawn and white painted non-figurative motifs and red solid figure on southern side of shelter.	87
Figure 6.19:	Yengo 2. White hand stencil variations - wrist-wrist combination on ceiling of shelter, superimposed over red outline macropod.	87
Figure 6.20:	Yengo 1. Squares 1B, 4A and 5A, showing excavated units.	88
Figure 6.21:	Yengo 1. Squares 1A, 1B and 1R, showing excavated units.	89
Figure 6.22:	Yengo 1. Squares 2A, 3S, 3N and 6, showing excavated units.	89
Figure 6.23:	Yengo 1. Squares 2A, 2B, 3S and 6E, showing excavated units.	90
Figure 6.24:	Yengo 2. Completed excavation.	91
Figure 6.25:	Yengo 2. Squares 4B and 4C. Excavated spits and stratigraphy.	92
Figure 6.26:	Stratigraphic section. Northern baulk of Squares 4A and 5A.	95
Figure 6.27:	Trench aligned with Square 1, showing site's internal stratigraphy.	95
Figure 6.28:	Yengo 1. Southern baulk, square 1C, showing buried boulder and engraved boulder.	96
Figure 6.29:	Yengo 1 Squares 2A, 2B, 3S and 6. Stratigraphic sections.	97
Figure 6.30:	Schematic section showing engraved boulder and charcoal samples.	99
Figure 6.31:	Yengo 1. Proportions of raw materials in the two analysis squares.	105
Figure 6.32:	Yengo 1. Proportions of raw materials in the two analysis squares. Artefacts >1cm.	106
Figure 6.33:	Yengo 1. Raw material proportions for backed blades, artefacts with R/U and cores. Both analysis squares.	107
Figure 6.34:	Yengo 1 Square 1B-4. Artefact Distribution (%f) by analytical unit.	108
Figure 6.35:	Yengo 1. Square 1B/4. Artefact density (/kg) through time.	108
Figure 6.36:	Yengo 1. Square 3S-6 Artefact Distribution.	109
Figure 6.37:	Yengo 1. Age depth curve for square 4A. Upper date from square 2B. Analytical units shown on vertical axis.	110
Figure 6.38:	Yengo 1. Artefacts accumulation per 100 years: square 1B-4.	111

Figure 6.39:	Correlation between sample size and raw materials; analytical units.	111
Figure 6.40:	Yengo 1. Vertical trends in raw material proportions. All artefacts.	112
Figure 6.41:	Vertical distribution of raw materials. Artefacts >1cm.	114
Figure 6.42:	Yengo 1. Vertical distribution of raw material per stratigraphic layer.	115
Figure 6.43:	Yengo 1. Proportions of size categories over time per stratigraphic layer. Cores and artefacts with R/U.	116
Figure 6.44:	Yengo 1. Vertical distribution of artefacts with R/U.	117
Figure 6.45:	Yengo 1. Changing raw material preferences over time. Artefacts with retouch/usewear. Both squares. Ground fragments excluded.	118
Figure 6.46:	Yengo 1. Vertical distribution of backed blades and ground fragments in both analysis squares. Stratigraphic layers indicated.	119
Figure 6.47:	Yengo 1. Raw Materials, backed artefacts through vertical sequence.	120
Figure 6.48:	Yengo 1. Vertical distribution of cores per analytical unit.	121
Figure 6.49:	Yengo 1. Changing platform characteristics of cores through time. Both analysis squares.	122
Figure 6.50:	Yengo 1. Vertical distribution of bone. Based on bone weights %f. Squares 3S, 3N, 4A and 6 (data from Steele 1994: Table A1.4).	123
Figure 6.51:	Yengo 1. Bone accumulation rates. Based on bone weights %f. Squares 3S, 3N, 4A and 6 (data from Steele 1994: Table A1.4; see Table A1.22).....	124
Figure 6.52:	Yengo 1. The excavation grid layout and artefacts retrieved per pit.	126
Figure 6.53:	Yengo 1. Artefact densities and percentage frequency distributions of lithic material across the site.	127
Figure 6.54:	Yengo 1. Spatial distribution of backed artefacts and flakes and flaked pieces with retouch/usewear.	131
Figure 6.55:	Yengo 1. Spatial distribution of ground pieces and micro-debitage.	132
Figure 6.56:	Yengo 1. Spatial distribution of bone fragments and distribution of bone densities.....	133
Figure 7.1:	Locality Map showing Great Mackerel rockshelter and its context.	143
Figure 7.2:	The Great Mackerel rockshelter. View from the north-east.	144
Figure 7.3:	The Great Mackerel rockshelter. Art assemblage. Faint white hand stencil in varying sizes.	146
Figure 7.4:	The Great Mackerel rockshelter. Stencil composition with feet, hands and digging sticks.	146
Figure 7.5:	The Great Mackerel rockshelter, Panel A. Older exfoliated red hand stencils are superimposed by white stencils.	147
Figure 7.6:	The Great Mackerel rockshelter. Site Plan.	147
Figure 7.7:	The Great Mackerel rockshelter. Cross-sections.	148
Figure 7.8:	Excavating in square 6, provenancing shell and collecting samples.....	148
Figure 7.9:	The Great Mackerel rockshelter. Squares 6, 6A and 6B at the completion of excavation. View to the western baulk from the east-north-east.	150
Figure 7.10:	The Great Mackerel rockshelter. Square 8 excavated to bedrock. View of southern baulk.	151
Figure 7.11:	Excavated spits, Squares 6 and 8.	151
Figure 7.12:	Stratigraphic sections, Squares 6 and 8.	155
Figure 7.13:	Age depth curve based on square 6 spit depths.	156
Figure 7.14:	Artefact and cultural material accumulation rates per 100 years.....	157
Figure 7.15:	Dominant shellfish species in the midden layer. Squares 6 and 8.....	160

Figure 7.16: Great Mackerel: Artefact with retouch/usewear. Raw materials.....	165
Figure 7.17: Raw Material proportions in the Midden and Artefact Layers.	166
Figure 7.18: The Great Mackerel rockshelter. Excavated complete fishhooks	167
Figure 7.19: Great Mackerel shell scrapers. Examples shown front and back.....	167
Figure 8.1: Locality Map. UDM Shelter in its local context.....	171
Figure 8.2: UDM Shelter. Site Plan.....	173
Figure 8.3: UDM Shelter. Site Cross-sections A - D.....	174
Figure 8.4: View of the internal space of the rockshelter, facing north-east.	174
Figure 8.5: UDM Shelter. The three engraved male human figures.....	175
Figure 8.6: Panel 2 (above) and Panel 3. Incised macropods on far right of Panel 2 (detail Figure 8.10). The current floor level is c. 1m below Panel 3.....	177
Figure 8.7: Pecked Upside-down-man beneath Panel 1. Note the charcoal infill in the hands and feet.	177
Figure 8.8: UDM Panel 4. White painted blobs, bird tracks and white hand stencils.....	178
Figure 8.9: UDM Panel 5. Red anthropomorphs (one with white outline) beneath white outlined macropods.	178
Figure 8.10: UDM Panel 2. Detail of incised macropods over black charcoal macropods.....	179
Figure 8.11: Panel 3 showing context of incised panel and the macropod #2 in the alcove (arrowed right) which was sampled for AMS dating.....	182
Figure 8.12: UDM Shelter. Macropod motif from which AMS samples were collected.....	182
Figure 8.13: UDM Shelter. Excavated spits – all squares.....	185
Figure 8.14: Square 4, excavation in progress. Note the marked colour contrast between the reddened ashy lens below Layer 1.	186
Figure 8.15: Square 8, excavation to bedrock. The colour differences in the different strata are quite clear.....	187
Figure 8.16: UDM Shelter. Stratigraphic sections, Squares 4 and 8.....	188
Figure 8.17: UDM Shelter. Stratigraphic Section, Square 6.....	188
Figure 8.18: UDM. Cultural components in the three analysed squares over time.....	190
Figure 8.19: The bifacially flaked basalt axe pre-form collected by Pat Vinnicombe.....	191
Figure 8.20: UDM. Raw material proportions in the three analysed squares.....	194
Figure 8.21: UDM. Raw material proportions in the three analysed squares.....	194
Figure 8.22: Artefact densities in the three analysed squares showing locations of the four radiocarbon dates.....	195
Figure 8.23: UDM shelter. Age depth curve and artefact accumulation rates by analytical and stratigraphic units.....	196
Figure 8.24: Correlation between sample size and number of raw material types per analytical unit.....	196
Figure 8.25: UDM Shelter. Vertical distribution of raw material. All artefacts.....	197
Figure 8.26: UDM Shelter. Vertical distribution of raw material per analytical unit.....	198
Figure 8.27: UDM. Raw material proportions plotted against sample size.....	198
Figure 8.28: UDM Shelter. Platform, flaked piece and core types over time.....	202
Figure 8.29: UDM Shelter. Vertical distribution of artefacts with retouch usewear, grinding and backed blades.....	203
Figure 8.30: UDM Shelter. Modified artefacts and raw materials: analytical units.....	204
Figure 8.31: UDM Shelter. Proportions of modified material per analytical unit.....	204

Figure 8.32:	Reworked UMCC data. Local rates of artefact accumulation in successive periods.....	207
Figure 8.33:	UMCC reworked data. Rates of habitation establishment and habitation use in the seven sites with >100 excavated artefacts.	209
Figure 8.34:	Mangrove Creek Valley. Rates of artefact accumulation in successive periods. Attenbrow's seven large sites plus UDM	212
Figure 8.35:	Mangrove Creek Valley. Rates of habitation establishment and habitation use in the eight sites (including UDM) with >100 excavated artefacts	213
Figure 9.1:	The four shelter art sites excavated for this research.	219
Figure 9.2:	Dated shelter art sites showing length of occupation and period of most intensive artefact accumulation.	227
Figure 10.1:	Results of the superimposition analysis.	239
Figure 10.2:	Mangrove Creek shelter sites. Motif preferences in the three art phases.....	240
Figure 10.3:	Number of sites demonstrating the three identified phases and cumulative frequency of sites with particular phases in use.	240
Figure 10.4:	Mangrove Creek shelter CA results. Scree slope plot of latent roots.....	241
Figure 10.5:	Mangrove Creek CA Results. Bivariate plot of component scores. Technical variables.....	243
Figure 10.6:	Mangrove Creek CA Results. Bivariate plot of component scores. Technical variables.....	246
Figure 10.7:	Bivariate plot of component scores, Mangrove Creek. Small, medium and large-very large sites plotted separately.	247
Figure 11.1:	CA Scores: entire Engraving component (705 sites). Each dot represents many sites.	254
Figure 11.2:	Engraving CA results: Plot of the latent roots indicating that the variance in the data set is well accounted for by the first two components.	255
Figure 11.3:	CA results: Engraving Sites. Bivariate Plot of Variable Scores.	256
Figure 11.4:	Quadrant labels used in the following discussion of the CA results.	256
Figure 11.5:	Percentage of homogeneous engraving sites in each analytical Group.	257
Figure 11.6:	Bivariate plot of sample size and number of motifs recorded per sample area. The five language areas and two randomly generated <i>Guringai</i> samples.....	258
Figure 11.7:	Darkingung Language Area. Motif Assemblage.	260
Figure 11.8:	Darug Language Area. Motif Assemblage.	260
Figure 11.9:	Guringai Language Area. Motif assemblage.....	261
Figure 11.10:	Sydney (<i>Eora</i>) Area: Motif Assemblage.	262
Figure 11.11:	Tharawal Area: Motif Assemblage.....	262
Figure 11.12:	The 25 drainage basins defined across the Sydney region.	265
Figure 11.13:	Bivariate plots, CA results. Darkingung language area - Upper Macdonald, central Macdonald and Mangrove Creek drainage basins.	266
Figure 11.14:	Cattai Drainage Basin. Bivariate plot of CA results.....	268
Figure 11.15:	Berowra Drainage Basin (<i>Darug</i> and <i>Guringai</i> Language Areas). Bivariate plot of CA results.....	268
Figure 11.16:	Cowan Drainage Basin. Bivariate plot of CA results.....	269
Figure 11.17:	Pittwater Drainage Basin. Bivariate plot of CA scores.	269
Figure 11.18:	<i>Tharawal</i> Language Area (Woronora and Port Hacking Drainage Basins). Bivariate Plot of CA Scores.	271
Figure 11.19:	Two examples of vertical engraving sites from Berowra Creek (top) and Cowan Creek (bottom).	272

Figure 11.20: Smith's Creek, Ku-Ring-Gai Chase National Park. The red stencils (arrowed and inset) in this shelter must have been produced by artists standing in a canoe at high tide. Photo taken at low tide.	273
Figure 11.21: Shelter on Cowan Creek, the floor of which is in the littoral zone. An engraved fish (inset) is located on the interior floor surface (arrowed).	273
Figure 11.22: Motif histogram for engraving sites in ridgetop, hillslope and vertical engravings in estuarine valley bottom.	274
Figure 11.23: CA bivariate plots according to topographic location. <i>Guringai</i> language area: Berowra and Cowan drainage basins.	276
Figure 11.24: Engraving component. Sites at which particular motifs appear.	277
Figure 11.25: Distribution of sites with engraved women and profile people.	279
Figure 11.26: Distribution of engraving sites with culture heroes and shield motifs.	280
Figure 11.27: Distribution of sites with engraved axe and macropod tracks.	281
Figure 11.28: Distribution of engraving sites with CXNF and contact motifs.	282
Figure 11.29: Site 575 with 15 shield motifs and three boomerangs.	283
Figure 11.30: Range of shield designs present in the engraved component.	284
Figure 11.31: Distribution map of main shield designs.	285
Figure 11.32: Distribution of Biaime and Daramulan type engraved motifs.	288
Figure 11.33: Engraved Culture Heroes. Daramulan motifs which appears to have been altered over time.	289
Figure 11.34: Paired and/or transitional <i>Daramulan</i> and <i>Biaime</i> motifs. Note that <i>Daramulan</i> below (on #45-2-16) has an altered outline also.	290
Figure 12.1: CA results. Scree slope plot of the latent roots showing that the variance is well accounted for by the first two components.	293
Figure 12.2: CA results, shelter motifs. Component scores a) motifs and b) sites.	294
Figure 12.3: Quadrant identification used in interpreting the shelter art CA results.	294
Figure 12.4: CA results Technique. Plot of the latent roots demonstrating that the variance in the data set is well accounted for by the first two components.	295
Figure 12.5: CA Results for Technique. Plot of component and eigen scores.	296
Figure 12.6: Shelter Art, Motif. Homogeneous shelter sites in each analysis group.	297
Figure 12.7: Shelter Art, Technique. Homogeneous shelter sites in each group.	297
Figure 12.8: <i>Darkingung</i> Language Area, Motif Assemblage.	298
Figure 12.9: <i>Darkingung</i> Language Area: depictive motifs.	299
Figure 12.10: <i>Darkingung</i> Language Area. Techniques employed.	299
Figure 12.11: <i>Darkingung</i> Language Area depictive motifs. Form.	299
Figure 12.12: <i>Darkingung</i> Language Area. Colour usage.	299
Figure 12.13: Darug (North) Language Area. Motif assemblage.	300
Figure 12.14: <i>Darug</i> (North) Language Area. Depictive Motifs.	300
Figure 12.15: <i>Darug</i> (North) Language Area. Technical options employed.	301
Figure 12.16: <i>Darug</i> (North) Language Area depictive motifs. Form.	301
Figure 12.17: <i>Darug</i> (North) Language Area. Colour preferences.	301
Figure 12.18: <i>Darug</i> (South) Language Area. Motif Assemblage.	301
Figure 12.19: <i>Darug</i> (South) Language Area. Depictive Motifs.	302
Figure 12.20: <i>Darug</i> (South) Language Area. Technical options employed.	302

Figure 12.21: <i>Darug</i> (South) Language Area. Form.	302
Figure 12.22: <i>Darug</i> (South) Language Area. Colour preferences.....	302
Figure 12.23: <i>Guringai</i> Language Area. Motif Assemblage.	303
Figure 12.24: <i>Guringai</i> Language Area. Depictive Motifs.....	303
Figure 12.25: <i>Guringai</i> Language Area. Technical options employed.....	303
Figure 12.26: <i>Guringai</i> Language Area depictive motifs. Form.	304
Figure 12.27: <i>Guringai</i> Language Area. Colour preferences.	304
Figure 12.28: <i>Eora</i> Language Area. Motif Assemblage.	304
Figure 12.29: <i>Eora</i> Language Area. Depictive Motifs.	305
Figure 12.30: <i>Eora</i> Language Area. Technical options employed.....	305
Figure 12.31: <i>Eora</i> Language Area depictive motifs. Form.	305
Figure 12.32: <i>Eora</i> Language Area. Colour preferences.	305
Figure 12.33: <i>Tharawal</i> Language Area. Motif Assemblage.....	306
Figure 12.34: <i>Tharawal</i> Language Area. Depictive Motifs.....	306
Figure 12.35: <i>Tharawal</i> Language Area. Technical options employed.....	306
Figure 12.36: <i>Tharawal</i> Language Area depictive motifs. Form.	307
Figure 12.37: <i>Tharawal</i> Language Area. Colour preferences.	307
Figure 12.38: <i>Darkingung</i> Language Area. Bivariate plot of CA scores: motifs.	309
Figure 12.39: <i>Darkingung</i> Language Area: Motif. Bivariate plots for the three drainage basin groupings.....	310
Figure 12.40: <i>Darug</i> and <i>Guringai</i> Language Areas: Motif. CA scores.....	312
Figure 12.41: <i>Darug</i> drainage basins: motifs. Bivariate plot of CA scores.....	313
Figure 12.42: <i>Guringai</i> drainage basins: motif. Bivariate plot of CA scores.....	314
Figure 12.43: <i>Tharawal</i> and <i>Darug</i> language areas: motif. Bivariate plot - CA scores.....	316
Figure 12.44: <i>Tharawal</i> and <i>Darug</i> drainage basins: motif. Bivariate plot - CA scores.....	317
Figure 12.45: <i>Tharawal</i> drainage basins: motif. Bivariate plot of CA scores.....	318
Figure 12.46: <i>Darkingung</i> language area: technique. Bivariate plot of CA scores.....	320
Figure 12.47: <i>Darkingung</i> drainage basins: technique. Bivariate plot of CA scores.....	320
Figure 12.48: <i>Darug</i> and <i>Guringai</i> language areas: technique. Plot - CA scores.....	322
Figure 12.49: Cattai and Berowra drainage basins: technique. Plot - CA scores.....	323
Figure 12.50: Pittwater and Cowan Drainage basins: technique. Plot of CA scores.....	324
Figure 12.51: <i>Darug</i> and <i>Tharawal</i> Language Areas: technique. CA scores.....	326
Figure 12.52: <i>Darug</i> Georges and Mill/Williams drainage basins. Plot of CA scores.....	327
Figure 12.53: <i>Tharawal</i> drainage basins: technique. Bivariate plot of CA scores.....	328
Figure 12.54: Occurrences of sites with particular motifs.....	330
Figure 12.55: Pigment art sites. Shelters with women and profile people motifs.....	332
Figure 12.56: Pigment art sites. Shelters with culture heroes and shield motifs.....	333
Figure 12.57: Pigment art sites. Shelters with human feet and macropod tracks.....	334
Figure 12.58: Pigment art sites. Shelters with axes and contact motifs.....	335
Figure 13.1: Model for territorial organisation and interaction across the Sydney region.....	351